



Maine Public Employees
Retirement System
Retiree Group Life Insurance
Program

Actuarial Valuation Report as of June 30, 2016

Presented by Cheiron

October 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
Letter of Tran	nsmittal	i
Introduction.		1
Section I	Asset Reconciliation	2
Section II	Financial Statement Information.	3
Section III	Balance Sheet Information	5
Section IV	Estimated Revenue	6
Appendix A	Participant Data, Assumptions, and Methods	8
Appendix B	Summary of Key Plan Provisions	16





Via Electronic Mail and UPS Delivery

October 28, 2016

Board of Trustees Maine Public Employees Retirement System P.O. Box 349 Augusta, ME 04332-0349

Re: Maine Public Employees Retirement System Retiree Group Life Insurance Program Actuarial Valuation Report

Dear Members of the Board:

The purpose of this report is to present the estimated Postretirement Group Life Insurance obligations as of June 30, 2016, for the Maine Public Employees Retirement System (MainePERS). The enclosed Sections contain the data the auditors need to prepare the disclosure section of the annual report.

Section I summarizes the change in asset information from June 30, 2015, to June 30, 2016.

Sections II, III, and IV contain the Liability and Asset information as of June 30, 2016. Per the System's direction, this is the information needed for the auditors. The assets represent the total group life insurance reserve, and adjustments may be necessary, if any reserves are not available to satisfy retiree liabilities. The liabilities are based on the biennial valuation as of June 30, 2016. The next full biennial valuation is scheduled to be performed as of June 30, 2018.

Appendix A describes the Participant Data, Assumptions, and Methods used in the calculation of the disclosure items contained in Sections I-III.

Appendix B contains the substantive Plan Provisions provided by the System.

In preparing our report, we relied on information (some oral and some written) supplied by the System. This information includes, but is not limited to, the plan provisions, employee data, and financial information. We performed an informal examination of the obvious characteristics of the data for reasonableness and consistency in accordance with Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 23.

Board of Trustees Maine Public Employees Retirement System October 28, 2016 Page ii

Actuarial computations provided in this report are for the purpose of fulfilling employee benefit plan financial accounting requirements. The calculations reported in the aforementioned sections have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of the associated Actuarial Standards of Practice. Determinations for purposes other than meeting the employee benefit plan's financial accounting requirements (for example, establishing a long-term funding strategy) may be significantly different from the results in this report.

This report was prepared solely for MainePERS for the purposes described herein. However, the plan auditor may rely on this report solely for the purpose of completing an audit related to the matters herein. This report is not intended to benefit any third party, and Cheiron assumes no duty or liability to any such party.

To the best of our knowledge, this report and its contents have been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices which are consistent with the Code of Professional Conduct and applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice set out by the Actuarial Standards Board. Furthermore, as credentialed actuaries, we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the opinion contained in this report. This report does not address any contractual or legal issues. We are not attorneys and our firm does not provide any legal services or advice.

Sincerely, Cheiron

John Colberg, FSA, EA, MAAA Principal Consulting Actuary Michael J. Noblé, FSA, FCA, EA, MAAA

Principal Consulting Actuary



INTRODUCTION

Maine Public Employees Retirement System (MainePERS) engaged Cheiron to provide an analysis of the Postretirement Group Life Insurance liabilities as of June 30, 2016. The primary purposes of performing this actuarial valuation are to:

- Estimate the annual required contribution (ARC) for the Postretirement Group Life Insurance Program using GASB 43/45 methodology under the current funding strategy for information purposes;
- Provide disclosures for financial statements; and,
- Estimate revenue based on current premium rates in force to be used for budgeting purposes.

We have determined the costs and liabilities for the substantive Plan using actuarial assumptions and methods that we consider reasonable.

Below is a summary of the key results of our calculations:

- The ARC for fiscal year ending June 30, 2017, is \$10.4 million.
- The actuarial liability under the Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method as of June 30, 2016, is \$ 200.5 million.

The fundamental principal underlying our analysis, as well as the GASB standard, is that the cost of benefits should be related to the period in which the benefits are earned, rather than in the period of benefit distribution. The *normal cost* (which is a component of the *ARC*) is the annual amount which would be sufficient to fund the substantive Plan benefits (net of retiree contributions) if it were paid from each employee's entry into the Plan until termination or retirement. The *actuarial liability* represents the portion of the value of the projected benefit at retirement that is allocated to service earned prior to the valuation date. The *unfunded actuarial liability* (UAL) represents the excess of the actuarial liability over Plan assets.

GASB's Statement 43 refers to the financial reporting for postemployment benefit plans other than pension plans and Statement 45 refers to the employer accounting for these plans. Statement 43 is generally applicable where an entity has a separate trust or fund for OPEB benefits. Statement 45 requires the plan sponsor to book the actuarial cost (net of employee, retiree, and their dependents' contributions) of the plan as an expense on its financial statements and then accrue a liability to the extent actual contributions were less than this expense. Additional disclosures include a description of the substantive plan, summary of significant accounting policies (not included in this report), contributions, and a statement of funding progress, along with the methods and assumptions used for those disclosures.

The current premium rates reflect rate changes adopted by the Board based on a premium study conducted in 2016. The premiums were unchanged for Teachers and PLD employees. Teachers pay \$.05 bi-weekly per \$1,000 of coverage for active coverage and the State contributes an amount equal to the calculated ARC for retired teacher coverage. PLD employees pay \$.46 monthly per \$1,000 in coverage. The premiums for State employees increased from \$.29 to \$.31 bi-weekly per \$1,000 of coverage for FY 2016. This includes \$.07 for active coverage and \$.24 for retiree coverage. The premiums for active coverage will increase to \$.09 and for retiree coverage will increase to \$.26 for FY 2018. It is anticipated the premiums for retiree coverage will increase again to \$.29 for FY 2020, with possible additional increases in future years past that, to be determined by the next premium study in 2020.



SECTION I - ASSET RECONCILIATION

The following chart shows the assets as of the valuation date reconciled from the prior valuation date.

	State ¹	T	'eachers	PLDs	Jι	ıdges ¹	Leg	gislators ^{1,2}	,	Fotal
Balance June 30, 2015 (in millions)	\$ 31.8	\$	48.0	\$ 15.3	\$	0.5	\$	-	\$	95.6
Claims and Expenses	\$ (6.1)	\$	(3.8)	\$ (2.2)	\$	(0.1)	\$	-	\$	(12.2)
Premium Revenue	5.5		5.1	2.4		0.1		-		13.1
Investment Income and Interest	0.3		0.5	0.1		-		-		0.9
Net Change during Fiscal Year	(0.3)		1.8	0.3		_		-		1.8
Balance June 30, 2016	\$ 31.5	\$	49.8	\$ 15.6	\$	0.5	\$	-	\$	97.4

Split of assets, expenses, investment income and premiums for State, Judges, and Legislators estimated based on ratio of liabilities as of the valuation date.



² Less than \$0.05 million

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT INFORMATION

The following chart shows Actuarial Liability, the assets as of the valuation date, and the Unfunded Actuarial Liability. The Actuarial Liability is calculated taking the Present Value of Future Benefits (shown in Section III) and subtracting the present value of future Normal Costs under the **Entry Age Normal** funding method.

As of June 30, 2016 (in millions)	State	Te	eachers	PLDs ¹	J	udges	Le	gislators ²	Total
Actuarial Liability									
- Active Employees	\$ 26.3	\$	27.8	\$ 8.8	\$	0.7	\$	-	\$ 63.6
- Retirees	 62.1		57.9	16.1		0.8			136.9
Total	88.4		85.7	24.9		1.5		-	200.5
Less: Assets at Valuation Date	\$ 31.5	\$	49.8	\$ 15.6	\$	0.5	\$		\$ 97.4
Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL)	\$ 56.9	\$	35.9	\$ 9.3	\$	1.0	\$	-	\$ 103.1

The ARC consists of two parts: (1) the *normal cost*, which represents the annual cost attributable to service earned towards coverage in a given year, and (2) the amortization of the UAL. In the table below, we show the computed FYE 2017 ARC.

For Fiscal 2017 (in millions)	State	Teacl	hers	PLDs ¹	Jı	ıdges	Leg	gislators ²	Total
Actuarial Required Contribution (ARC)									
- Normal Cost \$	0.9	\$	1.2	\$ 0.3	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2.4
- UAL Amortization ³	4.3		2.7	 0.9		0.1	-		 8.0
Total \$	5.2	\$	3.9	\$ 1.2	\$	0.1	\$	-	\$ 10.4

Net of PLD premiums (\$0.46 per month per \$1000 of benefit)

Less than \$0.05 million



Less than \$0.05 million
 Amortized as a level percent of pay over 21 years (PLDs over 14 years)

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT INFORMATION

The following table reconciles the Actuarial Liability, Normal Cost, and Annual Required Contribution (ARC) from those presented in the last full valuation to current values.

Reconciliation of Actuarial Lia	ability, Nor	nal Cost, a	and ARC
	Actuarial Accrued Liability	Normal Cost	Annual Required Contribution
June 30, 2014 Valuation Results Expected at June 30, 2016 based	\$186.7	\$2.2	\$8.9
on 2014 AVR	\$201.3	\$2.3	\$9.5
Changes due to:			
Demographic (Gain)/Loss	(\$0.4)	(\$0.1)	(\$0.2)
Change in Assumptions	(\$0.4)	\$0.2	\$0.1
Asset (Gain)/Loss	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$1.1
Other (Gain)/Loss	\$0.0	\$0.0	<u>(\$0.1)</u>
Total Changes	(\$0.8)	\$0.1	\$0.9
June 30, 2016 Valuation Results	\$200.5	\$2.4	\$10.4



SECTION III - BALANCE SHEET INFORMATION

The following chart develops the Present Value of Future Benefits for the purpose of analyzing the overall financial obligations and the prospective funding source for Postretirement Life Insurance Benefits. The Present Value of Benefits represents the amount of money needed today to fully pay off all future benefits, assuming participants continue to earn salary increases and accrue benefits under the current program and plan provisions.

As of June 30, 2016 (in millions)	State	Te	achers	PLDs ¹	Ju	ıdges	Leg	islators ²	,	Total
Assets										
- Current Value of Assets	\$ 31.5	\$	49.8	\$ 15.6	\$	0.5	\$	-	\$	97.4
- Future Employer Contributions	 62.4		44.2	 11.0		1.1		-		118.7
Total Present Value of Assets	93.9		94.0	26.6		1.6		-		216.1
Liabilities										
- Active Accrued Benefits	\$ 19.0	\$	20.5	\$ 7.0	\$	0.6	\$	-	\$	47.1
- Active Future Accruals	 12.8		15.6	 3.5		0.2				32.1
- Active Present Value of Benefits	31.8		36.1	10.5		0.8		-		79.2
- Inactive Present Value of Benefits	 62.1		57.9	 16.1		0.8				136.9
Total Present Value of Benefits	\$ 93.9	\$	94.0	\$ 26.6	\$	1.6	\$	-	\$	216.1

Net of PLD premiums (\$0.46 per month per \$1000 of benefit)
Less than \$0.05 million



SECTION IV – ESTIMATED REVENUE

The following chart develops estimated revenues generated by premiums paid on behalf of active participants.

As of June 30, 2016 (in millions)	State ¹	T	eachers	PLDs ²
Active Data				
- Total Payroll (in millions)	\$ 578.3	\$	698.7	\$ 260.6
- Insurance in Force ³ (in millions)	15.0		18.2	6.8
Current Total Premium ^{3, 4}	\$ 0.31	\$	0.05	\$ 0.21
Revenue from Premium Rates ^{4, 5}				
- FY 2018 (in millions)	\$ 5.4	\$	0.9	\$ 1.5
- FY 2019 (in millions)	5.6		1.0	1.5

Including Judge and Legislator amounts, as these are not developed separately.

Net of PLD premiums (\$0.46 per month per \$1000 of benefit)



Biweekly amounts per thousand

The Current Total Premium funds the cost of death benefits during employment and for State and PLDs the cost of postretirement benefits.

For Teachers, the premium does not include retire costs, which are paid separately by the state.

⁵ Based on updated premium rates for FY 2018. The State rates increase to \$0.09 for actives and \$0.26 for retirees for a total of \$0.35. Teacher and PLD rates remain unchanged.

SECTION IV – ESTIMATED REVENUE

The following chart for teachers shows the development of retiree cost on an actuarial basis for use in State budgeting and the cost of Life Insurance for death during employment (Active Cost). For fiscal years 2018 and 2019 there will no longer be an Annual Required Contribution, but we have shown the Actuarial Determined Employer Contribution (ADEC) using the same methods previously used to determine ARC.

	Teachers				
Projected Costs	FY 2018	FY 2019			
Retiree Cost					
- Normal Cost	\$ 1,210,499	\$1,243,788			
- UAL Amortization ⁶	2,815,207	2,892,625			
- Total ADEC = Retiree Cost	\$4,025,706	\$4,136,413			
Active Cost	933,335	959,002			
Total Cost	\$4,959,041	\$5,095,415			

⁶ Amortized as a level percent of pay over 20 and 19 years, respectively.



APPENDIX A - PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS, AND METHODS

Participant Data as of June 30, 2016

Group	Count	Actives Average Age	Average Service	Average Salary
State	11,702	48.2	13.0	\$ 48,788
Teachers	14,045	46.6	13.8	49,750
PLDs	5,416	49.5	11.3	48,108
Judges	61	59.9	17.6	120,689
Legislators	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
TOTAL	31,224	47.7	13.0	49,243

	Retirees											
Group	Count	Average Age	Average Benefit ¹									
State	8,487	71.0	\$ 16,675									
Teachers	7,003	72.0	19,149									
PLDs	2,671	71.5	16,001									
Judges	40	74.6	40,872									
Legislators	11	75.9	4,823									
TOTAL	18,212	71.5	17,574									

1 Ultimate benefit (40% of initial base benefit)

Note that all assumptions are based on the MainePERS Pension assumptions, which were updated after the experience study performed for this valuation year.

Economic Assumptions

Valuation Date: June 30, 2016

Investment Return: 6.875% per year

Cost-of-Living Increases in Life Benefits:

N/A. Unlike the pension benefits, Life Benefits do <u>not</u> increase with Cost of Living.

Premium Expense Assumption:

To reflect administrative expenses associated with the distribution of benefits, the following loads have been added to the liabilities, normal cost, and benefit payments.

State Employees, Judges and Legislators: 9.52%

Teachers: 13.07% **PLDs:** 8.66%

Rates of Salary Increase

(Experience-based sample rates by service including both merit scale increase and yearly increase):

Service	State	Teachers	PLD	Judges	Legislators
0	8.75%	14.50%	9.00%	2.75%	2.75%
5	5.00%	5.75%	2.75%	2.75%	2.75%
10	3.75%	4.75%	2.75%	2.75%	2.75%
15	3.20%	4.00%	2.75%	2.75%	2.75%
20	2.95%	2.75%	2.75%	2.75%	2.75%
25+	2.75%	2.75%	2.75%	2.75%	2.75%



APPENDIX A – PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS, AND METHODS

Demographic Assumptions

Rates of Termination

(experience-based sample rates by service):

Service	State	Teachers	PLDs	PLDs Special
0	33.5%	33.5%	25.0%	25.0%
5	10.50	10.50	9.00	4.00
10	5.95	5.95	6.00	2.50
15	4.25	4.25	4.00	2.50
20	4.00	4.00	2.50	2.50
25	4.00	4.00	2.50	2.50

(experience-based sample rates by age):

Age	Judges
25	7.00%
30	6.00%
35	5.00%
40	4.00%
45	3.00%
50	2.00%
55	1.00%

(experience-based sample rates by service):

Service	Legislators
0-1	0.0%
2-3	30.00
4-5	25.00
6-7	10.00
8-9	50.00
10-15	25.00
16+	50.00



APPENDIX A - PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS, AND METHODS

Rates of Mortality

State Healthy Employees:

Rates for Active State Employees are based on 104% and 120% of the RP-2014 Total Dataset Employee Mortality Table, respectively, for males and females, using the RP-2014 Total Dataset Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table rates after the end of the Total Employee Mortality Table, both projected from the 2006 base rates using the RPEC_2015 model, with an ultimate rate of 0.85% for ages 20-85, grading down to an ultimate rate of 0.00% for ages 111-120, and convergence to the ultimate rate in the year 2020.

Rates for Retiree State Employees are based on 104% and 120% of the RP-2014 Total Dataset Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table, respectively, for males and females, using the RP-2014 Total Dataset Employee Mortality Table for ages prior to start of the Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table, both projected from the 2006 base rates using the RPEC_2015 model, with an ultimate rate of 0.85% for ages 20-85, grading down to an ultimate rate of 0.00% for ages 111-120, and convergence to the ultimate rate in the year 2020.

	State		
Age	Male	Female	
20	4	2	
30	4	3	
40	6	5	
50	18	13	
60	80	64	
65	114	98	
70	177	158	
75	289	259	
80	486	437	
85	845	773	
90	1,479	1,374	
95	2,326	2,253	

Sample Rates – Number of deaths per 10,000 members, showing values in 2015. Ages 20-50 show sample rates for actives, ages 60+ show sample rates for retirees.



APPENDIX A – PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS, AND METHODS

Teacher Healthy Employees:

Rates for Active Teachers are based on 99% of the RP-2014 Total Dataset Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for both males and females, using the RP-2014 Total Dataset Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table rates after the end of the Total Employee Mortality Table, respectively, both projected using the RPEC_2015 model, with an ultimate rate of 0.85% for ages 20-85, grading down to an ultimate rate of 0.00% for ages 111-120, and convergence to the ultimate rate in the year 2020.

Rates for Retiree Teachers are based on 99% of the RP-2014 Total Dataset Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for both males and females, using the RP-2014 Total Dataset Employee Mortality Table for ages prior to the start of the Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table, respectively, both projected using the RPEC_2015 model, with an ultimate rate of 0.85% for ages 20-85, grading down to an ultimate rate of 0.00% for ages 111-120, and convergence to the ultimate rate in the year 2020.

	Teachers		
Age	Male	Female	
20	4	2	
30	4	2	
40	6	4	
50	17	27	
60	76	52	
65	108	81	
70	169	130	
75	275	214	
80	462	361	
85	804	638	
90	1,408	1,134	
95	2,215	1,859	

Sample Rates – Number of deaths per 10,000 members, showing values in 2015. Ages 20-50 show sample rates for actives, ages 60+ show sample rates for retirees.



APPENDIX A - PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS, AND METHODS

PLD Healthy Employees:

Rates for Active PLD employees are based on 104% and 120% of the RP-2014 Total Dataset Employee Mortality Table, respectively, for males and females, using the RP-2014 Total Dataset Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table rates after the end of the Total Employee Mortality Table, both projected from the 2006 base rates using the RPEC_2015 model, with an ultimate rate of 0.85% for ages 20-85, grading down to an ultimate rate of 0.00% for ages 111-120, and convergence to the ultimate rate in the year 2020.

Rates for Retiree PLD employees are based on 104% and 120% of the RP-2014 Total Dataset Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table, respectively, for males and females, using the RP-2014 Total Dataset Employee Mortality Table for ages prior to start of the Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table, both projected from the 2006 base rates using the RPEC_2015 model, with an ultimate rate of 0.85% for ages 20-85 grading down to an ultimate rate of 0.00% for ages 111-120, and convergence to the ultimate rate in the year 2020.

	PLD		
Age	Male	Female	
20	4	2	
30	4	3	
40	6	5	
50	18	13	
60	80	64	
65	114	98	
70	177	158	
75	289	259	
80	486	437	
85	845	773	
90	1,479	1,374	
95	2,326	2,253	

Sample Rates – Number of deaths per 10,000 members, showing values in 2015. Ages 20-50 show sample rates for actives, ages 60+ show sample rates for retirees.



APPENDIX A – PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS, AND METHODS

Judges and Legislators Mortality:

Judges and legislators follow the same mortality assumption as the state employees listed previously.

Rates of Disabled Mortality

(Experience-based sample deaths per 10,000 members by age):

Rates are based on 108% and 105% of the RP-2014 Total Dataset Disabled Annuitant Mortality Table, respectively, for males and females, projected from the 2006 base rates using the RPEC_2015 model, with an ultimate rate of 0.85% for ages 20-85, grading down to an ultimate rate of 0.00% for ages 111-120, and convergence to the ultimate rate in the year 2020.

	Disabled Mortality		
Age	Male	Female	
25	85	25	
30	81	31	
35	96 43		
40	115	59	
45	180	93	
50	220	123	
55	251	153	
60	284 182		
65	340	122	
70	442	303	

Rates of Retirement

(experience-based sample retirements per 1,000 members by age):

	State Employees and Teachers				
Age	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3		
45	13	NA	NA		
50	29	NA	NA		
55	40	40	40		
59	150	40	40		
60	250	75	40		
61	200	175	40		
62	200	250	40		
63	200	150	75		
64	250	200	225		
65	350	250	300		
70	200	200	300		
75	1,000	1,000	1,000		

PLD Regular

Age	Tier 1	Tier 2
45	50	50
50	50	50
55	50	50
60	200	50
65	250	200
70	1,000	1,000



APPENDIX A – PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS, AND METHODS

PLD Special

Age	Assumption
20	400
21-24	300
25	400
26-29	300
30	400
31-34	300
35+	1,000

Judges

Age	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
60-61	1,000	NA	NA
62-64	1,000	500	NA
65-69	1,000	500	500
70-74	1,000	500	500
75+	1,000	1,000	1,000

Legislators

Age	Assumption
60-69	250
75+	1,000

Participants who are not members of MainePERS: Age 62.

Rates of Disability (experience-based sample disablements per 10,000 members by service):

Age	State	Teachers	PLDs	Judges & Legislators
25	5.0	2.1	1.8	0
30	6.1	2.3	2.4	0
35	9.3	2.3	3.0	0
40	14.8	3.1	4.2	0
45	22.8	7.0	9.0	0
50	34.0	10.9	19.8	0
55	39.9	14.9	36.6	0
60	43.4	18.8	65.0	0

Participation Percent for Future Retirees: 100% of those currently enrolled (unique to this valuation).



APPENDIX A – PARTICIPANT DATA, ASSUMPTIONS, AND METHODS

Other Assumptions (Unique to this Valuation)

Conversion Charges: Applies to the cost of active group life insurance, not retiree group life insurance.

Form of Benefit

Payment: Lump Sum.

Actuarial Cost Method

To be consistent with past analyses and with the Pension Plan funding, the individual entry age normal method is used to determine liabilities. Under this funding method, a normal cost rate is calculated for each member. This rate is determined by taking the value, as of age at entry into the Plan, of the member's projected future benefits, and dividing it by the value, also as of the member's entry age of his expected future salary. The normal cost for each member is the product of annual salary and the normal cost rate. The normal cost for the group is the sum of the normal costs for all members.

The actuarial liability is defined as the present value of future benefits, less the present value of future normal costs. The unfunded actuarial liability is the total of the actuarial liability for all members, less the actuarial value of the System's assets.

The discount rate used reflects the long term funding policy to fully fund the benefits on an actuarial basis by FY 2038 for State and Teachers and by FY 2031 for PLDs.

Asset Valuation Methods

Figures were reported by MainePERS without audit or change, except that State assets are allocated to State, Judges, and Legislators based on total actuarial liability.

Changes Since Last Valuation

The following assumptions have been updated for the current valuation:

- investment return,
- premium expense,
- rates of salary increase,
- mortality,
- retirement,
- disability, and
- termination.

These assumptions changes reflect the adoption by the Board of the pension experience study in 2016.



APPENDIX B - SUMMARY OF KEY PLAN PROVISIONS

Summary of Key Plan Provisions

Membership

Service Retirement: A retiree must have participated in the

group life insurance program for at least ten years and possess coverage just prior

to retirement.

Disability Retirement: An employee must have participated in the

group life insurance program immediately

prior to disablement.

Basic Insurance

Average final compensation calculated for retirement purposes.

Amount of Insurance for a Retiree

Service Retirement: The Basic Insurance will be reduced by

15% per year until the amount equal to the greater of (a) 40% of the initial Basic

Insurance, or (b) \$2,500.

Disability Retirement: The amount of basic life insurance in force

prior to retirement will be continued until normal retirement age. At normal retirement age, the amount of insurance will be reduced as for service retirement.

Retiree Contribution

State Employees: None. Teachers: None.

PLD Employees: PLD must pay \$0.46 per month per \$1,000

of base benefit, based on the coverage amounts declining from 100% to 40%.

Judges: None. Legislators: None.

Normal Retirement Age

The specified age, the years of service requirement or any age and years of service combination at which a participant may become eligible for unreduced service retirement benefits.

Discontinued Coverages at Retirement

- Supplemental Life
- Accidental Death and Dismemberment
- Dependent Life

(Discontinued coverage may be ported to another group term product or converted to an individual policy. MainePERS is charged a fee for those active employees who convert to an individual policy upon termination from employment. Conversion charges are considered a cost of active, not retiree group life insurance. Therefore, it is not included in these liabilities.)

